KALINGA INSTITUTE OF INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY

Deemed to be University U/S 3 of UGC Act, 1956

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

Academic Year:

STUDENTS FEEDBACK FOR DESIGN AND REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Name:							
School	•						
Program:		Sem	Semester:				
Course Name:		Bran	Branch:				
Please give your valuable feedback on design and review of syllabus. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (1-Strongly disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly agree)							
SI. No.	Rating 🖒	5		3	2	1	
	Subject 👢		4				
1.	The course content provides sufficient objectives, knowledge and skill.						
2.	The course is well structured, interesting, relevant and up-to-date.						
3.	The units/sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced.						
4.	The study material and reference materials are available.						
5.	The expected course outcomes and program outcomes are well defined.						
Comments/Suggestion (if any);							
Date:						Signature:	
For Office Use							

(Internal Quality Assurance Cell)

(Authorized Signatory)